and the second s	
IN PRODUITED STATES PATENT	AND TRADEMARK OFFIC
) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
" \	Art Unit: 16

In Re Application of: Y. BARENHOLZ

Appin. No. 09/780,757

Date Filed: February 8, 2001

For: DETECTION OF BINDING OF CHARG

FEB 2 2 2002

Examiner: J. N. Fredman

Washington, D.C.

Atty.'s Docket: BARENHOLZ=1

OR

OR

Date: February 22, 2002

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a [X] REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT in the above-identified application.

- Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted
- A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.
- [XX] No fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS
TOTAL	* .	MINUS	** 20	0
INDEP.	*	MINUS	*** 3	0
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM				

SMAL	LENIIIY
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 9	\$
x 42	\$
+ 140	\$
ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL	\$

OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY RATE **ADDITIONAL** FEE 18 \$ \$ 84 280 \$ TOTAL \$

- If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
- If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

[XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity Response Filed Within		•	Other Than Small Entity			
		1	Response Filed Within			
[]	First	-	\$ 55.00	[] First - \$ 110.00		
	Second	_	\$ 200.00	[] Second - \$ 400.00		
[]	Third	-	\$ 460.00	[] Third - \$ 920.00		
[]	Fourth	-	\$ 720.00	[] Fourth - \$ 1440.00		
Month	After Time	Peri	od Set	Month After Time Period Set		

	[] Less fees (\$	_) already paid for month(s) extension of time on
[]	Credit Card Payment Form	, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$.

[XX] The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees

under 37 CFR §1.18.

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528 Telephone: (202) 628-5197 RECEIVED
FEB 25 2002
TC 1700

BROWDY AND NEIMARK

Attorneys for Applicant(

Registration No. 20,520



IN THE UNITED

ADEMARK

STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: BARENHOLZ=50

Art Unit: 1655 In re Application of: Examiner: J. N. Fredman Yechezkel BARENHOLZ Appln. No.: 09/780,757 Washington, D.C. Confirmation No. 6619 Date Filed: February 8, 2001 February 22, 2002 For: DETECTION OF BINDING OF CHARGED SPECIES... PROBES

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Honorable Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Replying to restriction requirement mailed January 22, 2002, Paper No. 9, applicants hereby respectfully and provisionally elect Group I, directed to methods, and presently comprising claims 1-13, 17 and 18, without prejudice and without traverse.

Thus, applicants accept the PTO ruling that the inventions of Groups I and II are patentably distinct from one another, i.e. each is prima facie non-obvious from the other.

Applicants reserve the right to pursue the nonelected claims in a divisional application, without any penalty whatsoever, and relying on Sections 121, 120 and 119, In re Appln. No. 09, 80,757

the latter relative to the previously filed provisional application.

Applicants respectfully request the PTO to acknowledge receipt of applicants' claim for domestic priority under Section 119(e).

Applicants respectfully await the results of an examination on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Ву

Sheridan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

SN:jec

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

F:\,C\cohn\Barenholz 1\Pto\ReplyRestrn22Feb02.doc